

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
8 Plaintiff, ) Case No. CR92-14 RSL  
 )  
9 v. ) **PROPOSED FINDINGS OF**  
 ) **FACT AND DETERMINATION**  
10 LESLIE GUY WILSON, ) **AS TO ALLEGED**  
 ) **VIOLATIONS OF**  
11 Defendant. ) **SUPERVISED RELEASE**  
 )  
12

13 INTRODUCTION

14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on March 10,  
15 2009. The defendant appeared pursuant to a warrant issued in this case. The United States was  
16 represented by Lisa Borichewski, and defendant was represented by Paula S. Deutsch. Also  
17 present was U.S. Probation Officer Michael R. Markham. The proceedings were digitally  
18 recorded.

19 CONVICTION AND SENTENCE

20 The Honorable Carolyn R. Dimmick sentenced defendant on September 11, 1992 for  
21 Murder in the Second Degree, Assault with a Dangerous Weapon, and Use of a Firearm during  
22 Assault. He received 228 months custody and 60 months supervised release.

23 ///

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND  
DETERMINATION AS TO VIOLATIONS OF  
SUPERVISED RELEASE -1

1 PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND  
2 DEFENDANT'S ADMISSION OF THE VIOLATION

3 In a petition dated March 4, 2009, Supervising U.S. Probation Officer Michael R. Markham  
4 alleged that defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:

5 1. Using alcohol on or before November 3, 2008, in violation of a special condition of  
6 supervised release.

7 2. Failing to obtain a substance abuse evaluation in violation of a special condition of  
8 supervised release.

9 3. Using alcohol on or before March 3, 2009, in violation of a special condition of  
10 supervised release.

11 4. Failing to submit to alcohol testing on or before March 3, 2009, in violation of a  
12 general condition of supervised release.

13 5. Committing the crime of disorderly conduct on or about March 3, 2009, in violation  
14 of a general condition of supervised release.

15 Defendant admitted violations 1, 2, and 3, and waived any hearing as to whether they  
16 occurred. Following defendant's admissions, the United States moved to strike violations 4 and  
17 5. Defendant was released on an appearance bond requiring him to reside in a Residential  
18 Reentry Center and to abide by all conditions of supervision. Defendant was also informed that a  
19 disposition hearing was set for June 11, 2009 at 8:30 a.m. before Chief Judge Robert S. Lasnik.

20 RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

21 Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the

22 ///

23 ///

1 conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing.

2 DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009.

3  
4 

5 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
6 United States Magistrate Judge  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23